

ORIGINAL

FILED

08 MAY -9 PM 3:26

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY:

DEPUTY

FILED

1 Max C. Fischer (SBN 226003)
mfischer@sidley.com
2 Aimee G. Mackay (SBN 221690)
amackay@sidley.com
3 Brendan P. Sheehey (SBN 247156)
bsheehey@sidley.com
4 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
5 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, California 90013-1010
6 Telephone:(213) 896-6000
Facsimile:(213) 896-6600

7 Attorneys for Defendants
CIT Group Inc.
8 Student Loan Xpress, Inc.

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 '08 CV 0846 J JMA

12 FABRIZIO BALESTRI,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15
16 CIT GROUP, INC., STUDENT LOAN
17 XPRESS, INC., AND DOES 1
18 THROUGH 30, INCLUSIVE,

19 Defendants.
20
21
22

Case No.: _____

23 NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

Local Rule 40.1

24 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Local Rule 40.1, Defendants
25 CIT Group Inc., and Student Loan Xpress, Inc. state that the above-captioned action is
26 related to the action identified below; each involve breach of contract claims for
27
28

1 wages and bonuses allegedly achieved by Plaintiff and unpaid by Defendants CIT
2 Group Inc. and Student Loan Xpress, Inc.:

3 *Michael Shaut v. CIT Group Inc. and Student Loan Xpress, Inc.*, Case
4 No. 1:08-CV-1114, filed on March 28, 2008, and pending in the United States District
5 Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

6 Assignment to a single district judge is unlikely to effect a saving of
7 judicial effort or other economies. Michael Shaut is a resident of Ohio, and originally
8 brought his suit in Ohio State Court before it was properly removed by Defendants to
9 the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. Defendants
10 additionally believe that Shaut's claims may be subject to arbitration under a lawful
11 arbitration agreement. Plaintiff Fabrizio Balestri, on the other hand, is a California
12 resident and originally filed his suit in California State Court before Defendants filed
13 their timely removal to this Court. Consolidation of these actions, therefore, would
14 burden either Mr. Shaut or Plaintiff by requiring one or the other to litigate his suit in
15 a forum outside his state of residence.

16 **PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** the undersigned counsel of
17 record is not aware of any other related cases in this district or in the State of
18 California.

19
20 Dated: May 9, 2008

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
Max C. Fischer
Aimee G. Mackay
Brendan P. Sheehy

21
22
23
24 By: 

Aimee G. Mackay
Attorney for Defendants
CIT Group Inc.
Student Loan Xpress, Inc.